Informatics links between histological features and genetics in cancer

Kun Huang, PhD

Department of Biomedical Informatics The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center Department of Medicine Precision Health Initiative – Data Science Program Indiana University School of Medicine





Data Integration

Integrative genomics / trans-omics approach



Team



<image>

Jeff Baumes, Kitware



Omar Padron, Kitware

dical Center

Leveraging

- NCI CPTAC Contract
 - Integrate proteomics data from CPTAC project
 - High performance computing (GPU and cluster)



HPC





CLINICAL PROTEOMIC TUMOR ANALYSIS CONSORTIUM



Trainees

- Chaitanya Kulkarni (OSU CSE)
- Arunima Srivastava (OSU CSE)
- Xusheng Wang (OSU ECE)
- Jun Cheng (SMU, China)
- Yatong Han (HEU, China)
- Tongxin Wang (OSU ECE)
- Dr. Hao Ding (OSU CSE, Walmart Lab)
- Dr. Chao Wang (OSU ECE, Thermo Fisher)
- Dr. Xing Tang (OSUCCC, St. Jude Children's Hospital)
- Sanaya Shroff (Cornell U)
- Kelly Pan (Brown U)
- Duong Au (OSUMC)



Tasks

Aim 1 – Develop software libraries for integrative genomics in cancer research, specifically for *integrating genomic, histological images and clinical data for cancer biomarker discovery and subtyping*.

Aim 2 – Develop an integrative and expandable open source platform for *managing, analyzing, and integrating multiple data types* in integrative genomics for cancer with **visual analytic capabilities** for cancer biomarker discovery.

Aim 3 – Test the completed *software platform with cancer systems biology studies* and build an ecosystem based on the open source framework for integrative genomics and in particular for imaging genomics in cancer.



Aim 1a - Histopathology Image Features

- Patch-based features
- Whole-slide cell morphology statistics
- Topological features
- Features from other tools (e.g., CellProfiler) or collaborative groups



Pipeline Overview





Feature Extraction



E:epithelial features;S:stromal features



Cell-Level Distribution Features

Whole-slide cell based analysis with distribution as features.





Topological Features

11



Papillary Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma



Edge(14, 58)

Edge(16, 56)

Edge(1, 49)



Wexner Medical Center

Papillary Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma





__.ter

Aim 1b - Correlation to Integration



Eigengene – Imaging Feature Correlation





Breast Cancer

Patient Stratification on Morphology



Hierarchical clustering of breast cancer patients based on imaging features.



Lung Adenocarcinoma



Schematic overview of constructing gene networks and analyzing correlations between gene networks and morphological features



Morphology and genetic variations

Gene	beta	GO Biological Brosses / p. values	Cytobands/p-	Notes:
(size)		Frocess/p-values	values	
4	-1.1558	GO:0006614 SRP-		
(59)		dependent		
		cotranslational		
		protein targeting to		
		membrane / 9.105E-		
		98		
31	1.3894			Genes down-regulated in
(10)				nsopharyngeal carcinoma
				relative to the normal
				tissue (p = 5.074e-19, all
22 (10)	_1 7312		10~12 /2/5 525	All 10 genes)
55 (10)	-1.7215		19415.42/5.525 e-6	All 10 genes on 19415.5-4
40	1.2977		8g24.13/3.263e-	Seven genes on 8g21-24
(8)			5	one on 8a13
59(7)	-1.5729			All seven genes on 16p11
			10	
60	1.2103		Xq28/1.982e-13	All seven genes on Xq27-
(7)				28
61	-1.6639		6p21.1/4.436e-7	Six genes on 6p21-22, one
(7)				on 6p12
70 (6)	2.1783		17q21.31/5.532	All six genes are on 17q21
			e-10	
74	-2.0544		8p11.2/1.048e-9	All six genes are on
(6)	1 0002	CO-0050690	17~11 2/6 880~	
(6)	-1.0095	negative regulation	7	17a11-12
(0)		of enithelial cell	1	
		proliferation /		
		3.290E-6		
87 (5)	1.9569		19q13.2/1.131e-	All five genes on 19q13
			6	
95 (5)	2.5783		18q12.1/1.175e-	All five genes on 18q12
			9	



Concept





The Algorithm

Algorithm 1: Molecular Regularized Consensus Patient Stratification

Data: Similarity Matrix \widetilde{S} , Molecular Density Weight Matrix W, the number of clusters in final consensus k, MaxIter, precision ϵ **Result**: Cluster indicator matrix U. initialize $\widetilde{U}^{(1)} > 0, t = 1, \Delta = +\infty;$ while t < MaxIter and $\Delta > \epsilon$ do Update $\widetilde{U}_{ij}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \widetilde{U}_{ij}^{(t)} \sqrt{\frac{[(W \circ S)\widetilde{U}D]_{ij}}{[(W \circ \widetilde{U}D\widetilde{U}^T)\widetilde{U}D]_{ij}}}$; Update $D_{ij}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow D_{ij}^{(t)} \sqrt{\frac{[\widetilde{U}^T(S \circ W)\widetilde{U}]_{ij}}{[\widetilde{U}^T(\widetilde{U}D\widetilde{U}^T \circ W)\widetilde{U})]_{ii}}};$ Compute $\Delta = \|\widetilde{S} - W \circ (\widetilde{U}D\widetilde{U}^T)\|_F^2$; t = t + 1;end Discretize U to binary membership matrix.

Algorithm 1: Molecular Regularized Consensus Patient Stratification

Wang C, Machiraju R, Huang K, Methods, 2014



Ongoing – Grassmanian Integration

mRN



miRN



DNA methylation

andra (1997) († 1997) († 1997) Neverski († 1996) Som († 1997) († 1997) († 1997) Som († 1997)		Contrast de la contra Contrast de la contrast de la contrast Contrast de la contrast de la contrast Contrast de la contrast de la contrast Contrast de la contrast de la co
	調査の	

Integration



Aim 2 - Software

- OSUMO (Ohio State University Multi-Omics tool)
- iGenomicsR (R/Shiny)
- WINGS (in collaboration with Dr. Parag Mallick)
- GRAPHIE
- AnnoPeak
- ImQCM



iGenomicsR

iGenomicsR: an integrative platform to explore, visualize, and analyze multidimensional genomics data for disease





Cell cycle genes is highly expressed in TP53 mutated patients





WINGS

🐵 Wings Portal							
Home Analysis Advanced	Admin					🔳 spellbook 🛛 🛔 ravali	
templates	Run Workflows 🔓 Im	ageFeatureExtractionAnalysis 🛞 🔓 BasicProteogenomicAn	alysis 🗵 🗄 🤅	ZhangProteogenomicAnalysis	s 💌		
🗄 AnalyzeAffyData	Template 📄 Docume	ntation 🔲 Provenance					
ង BCFVarCaller	Suggest Data	est Parameters 🔥 Plan Workflow				🗇 Clear 🛛 📿 Reload	
😫 BCFVarCaller_MultiSample							
🗄 BasicProteogenomicAnalysis	alignParams:	Select a file	~	refFasta:	Select a file	×	
CalcRPKMFromFASTQ	rawNGSData:	Select multiple files	~	pileupParams:	Select a file	~	
CalcRPKMfromBAM	refFastaBundle:	Select a file	~	varfiltParamFile:	Select a file	*	
E GenerateHeatmap	translateAnarame	Colort a file	~	annIndolDarama	Colort a filo	~	
E GenomicVariantCaller	uansiateAAparanis.	Scieut a me		suprideirarans.	Select a file		
ImageFeatureExtractionAnalysis	refFastaAnnot:	Select a file	*	spectraFile:	Select a file	¥	
E IndexBamFile	protAssemblyParams:	Select a file	~	LCMS2Params:	Select a file	*	
ProteinIdentification	searchEngine:	Enter a string value					
SNPIndelAbstractCaller				A			
e Sivicalier_Mutect						ሕ Layout 🔍 🍳 🔯 Grab Image	
k VarscapCaller							
	Course						
2 ZhangProteogenomicAnarysis							
test daniel CW1 HB							
zzzztest							
t zzzztest	\ + ••	*					
e zzzztest		e					
~							
		to have a second s					
	\$						
		Alternative and the second					
		.					



Workflow for Nature Communications publication





Wexner Medical Center

Graphie – Visual Analytics of Imaging Features



From 5th Symposium on Biological Data Visualization Dublin, Ireland. 10-11 July 2015

Wexner Medical Center

Graphie – Feature Selection

Examine feature distinctiveness for group of images.

- Student's t-test
- Boxplots
- Re-generate with selected feature subset

Group 1			subgroup 1 -
Group 2	1		subgroup 2 -
Sort -	Plot		
Select	featureID	pValue	boxplot
	1	6.9e-16	
	2	5.9e-15	•
	3	1.3e-13	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	4	8.8e-12	•• [
	5	1.1e-11	 • •••••
0	6	1.8e-10	łł





Aim 3 – Translational Applications

- Triple negative breast cancer biomarker discovery (Drs. *Charles Shapiro, MSMC*)
- Triple negative breast cancer neoadjuvant therapy outcome prediction (Dr. Zaibo Li - OSU, Yuan Zhang – Harbin Cancer Hospital)
- Lung adenocarcinoma immune therapy response prediction (Drs. David Carbone - OSU, Yilong Wu – Guangdong Lung Cancer Inst.)
- Lung adenocarcinoma prognosis prediction and subtyping (Dr. Lin Yang – UFL, David Foran – Rutgers U)
- Papillary cell renal cell carcinoma (Dr. Anil Parwane OSU)
- Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (Dr. Anil Parwane OSU)
- Thyroid cancer SPORE (Drs. *Matt Ringel*, Kevin Coombes OSU)
- Gastric cancer (Dr. *Jiafu Ji Beijing Cancer Hospital*)
- Liver cancer (Dr. Lei Liu Fudan University)
- Neuroblastoma (CAMDA)
- Cytology image classification for cancers (Dr. Zaibo Lie OSU)

Aim 3 – Basic Science Applications

- Cancer cell cycle pathway characterization (Dr. Gustavo Leone, MUSC)
- Cancer genome stability gene mutation (Dr. Jeffrey Parvin, OSU)
- Cancer epigenetics / CCSB U54 (Drs. Tim Huang, UTSA)
- Cancer drug repurposing (Drs. Philip Payne, WashU)
- Cancer co-methylation markers (Dr. Jie Zhang, OSU)
- Systems modeling of breast cancer (Dr. Lang Li, IU)
- Functions of STUB1 gene (Dr. Yufeng Yang, Fuzhou U)



Aim 3 – Informatics Collaborations

- Integrative analysis of cancer proteogenomics data (Dr. Parag Mallick, Stanford U)
- Integrative analysis and visualization of CPTAC data (Dr. Bing Zhang, Baylor College of Medicine)
- Integrative visualization tool development (Dr. Xing Tang, St. Jude Children's Hospital)
- Integrative tool evaluation (Dr. Simon Lin, Nationwide Children's Hospital)
- Integration with TPCA (Dr. Han Liang, MD Anderson)
- Morphological feature extraction (Dr. Lee Cooper, Emory U)



Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

Ratio_std Eigengene2 Ratio bin9 В С Α Low group(205) Low group(205) Low group(205) High group(205) High group(205) High group(205) 0.8 0.8 0.8 Survival Survival Survival 0.4 0.4 0.4 p=0.0312 p=0.0245 p=0.0237 0.0 0.0 0.0 100 100 0 20 40 60 80 0 20 40 60 80 0 20 40 60 80 100 Months Months Months RMean bin10 Eigengene3 Lasso-Cox D E F Low group(205) Low risk(201) Low group(205) High group(205) High group(205) High risk(209) 0.8 0.8 0.8 Survival Survival Survival 0.4 0.4 0.4 p=7.46e-06 p=8.79e-10 p=2.23e-05 0.0 0.0 0.0

20

40

60

Months

100

80

Question – Can these features do better when combined?

Months

60

80

20

40

100

lical Center

100

80

20

40

60

Months

32

Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

Question – Can these features do better when combined?

	Univariate Cox regression		Multivariate Cox regression		
Variable	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value	
Lasso-Cox	3.06 (2.10-4.45)	5.02e-9	2.26 (1.46-3.49)	2.31e-4	
Clinical					
Grade	2.38 (1.63-3.5)	8.45e-6	1.46 (0.95-2.23)	8.22e-2	
Stage	3.68 (2.57-5.27)	1.12e-12	3.00 (2.00-4.49)	9.23e-8	
Gene expression					
CSNK2A1	0.90 (0.64-1.26)	5.34e-1	1.07 (0.74-1.56)	7.11e-1	
SPP1	1.15 (0.82-1.61)	4.14e-1	1.10 (0.75-1.63)	6.20e-1	
DEFB1	1.41 (1.00-1.98)	4.99e-2	1.36 (0.95-1.95)	9.71e-2	
PECAM1	0.77 (0.55-1.09)	1.40e-1	1.04 (0.69-1.58)	8.45e-1	
EDNRB	0.50 (0.35-0.71)	9.10e-5	0.96 (0.59-1.57)	8.77e-1	
TSPAN7	0.54 (0.38-0.76)	5.12e-4	1.03 (0.64-1.67)	9.07e-1	
Somatic mutation					
VHL	0.99 (0.70-1.38)	9.33e-1	1.23 (0.86-1.75)	2.57e-1	
PBRM1	0.85 (0.58-1.24)	3.94e-1	1.03 (0.69-1.54)	8.85e-1	
BAP1	1.49 (0.78-2.85)	2.22e-1	1.49 (0.74-3.00)	2.60e-1	
SETD2	1.29 (0.77-2.14)	3.29e-1	1.03 (0.62-1.74)	9.00e-1	
TP53	2.26 (1.00-5.15)	5.13e-2	2.86 (1.19-6.86)	1.85e-2	

Iedical Center

Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

Question – What features are correlated with patient outcome in early stage (stages I, II)?





Features and Workflow Released on GitHub

All processed data for clear cell renal cell cancer project (extracted quantitative imaging features, combined gene expression data, etc) and codes with annotations, comments and instructions are available at https://github.com/chengjun583/image-mRNA-prognostic-model.



Next

- Multiple releases of web portal and tools
- Extensive user evaluations
- Outreach CCSB, ITCR, AMIA, AACR, PSB, ICIBM, ...
- Interpretation and validation of discoveries from applications

